INTERCULTURAL PERSPECTIVES ON CLASSROOM SILENCE: THEORETICAL INTERPRETATIONS AND PEDAGOGICAL IMPLICATIONS FOR CHINESE STUDENTS

Chang ying[1] and Ooi Boon Keat[2]

[*1]Post Graduate Centre, Management and Science University, Shah Alam 40100, Selangor, Malaysia
[2*] School of Education and Social Sciences, Management and Science University,
Shah Alam 40100, Selangor, Malaysia

ABSTRACT

This paper conducts a systematic investigation of the phenomenon of classroom silence among Chinese students in international educational contexts through an integrated analytical framework. Adopting a intercultural theoretical perspective, the study delves into the intricate cultural and psychological underpinnings that sustain this phenomenon. The research transcends oversimplified causal attributions by comprehensively examining the formation mechanisms of classroom silence across three interrelated dimensions: individual psychological characteristics, sociocultural norms, and educational institutional structures. Building upon this foundation, the paper reconceptualizes silence itself through a differentiated typology, illuminating the dual functions that silence exercises in cognitive processing and affective experience while clarifying its multifaceted pedagogical significance. On the basis of these analytical insights, the study further proposes a series of evidence-based pedagogical strategies tailored for foreign educators, advocating for pedagogical innovation grounded in cultural inclusivity so as to restore education to its fundamental mission—fostering comprehensive human development.

Keywords: classroom silence; passive silence; strategic silence; high-context/low-context; collectivism; individualism

1. INTRODUCTION

In the context of globalization education, the silence of Chinese students in classrooms has become a notable phenomenon within intercultural academic settings. Empirical studies indicate that both in Sino-foreign cooperative education institutions in China and among Chinese students studying abroad, Silence remains a prevalent and persistent phenomenon. Within Western (especially North American) pedagogy dominated by communicative academic approaches, students' verbal participation is equated with learning engagement and cognitive activity. Therefore, silence tend to be viewed negatively within such framework. Foreign teachers often attribute such silence to students' inadequate English proficiency, viewing language barriers as the primary obstacle to classroom participation. Additionally, many Western scholars, drawing on the notion of Confucian cultural traditions, categorize Chinese students' classroom behavior as "silent and passive," thereby forming a rigid cultural stereotype.

However, this perspective has been challenged by recent intercultural educational research. Finding from Liu and Jackson (2008) indicate that even when Chinese students had adequate English proficiency, classroom silence remains significant, indicating that linguistic factor alone are not insufficient to explain the phenomenon. This suggests that Chinese students' silent behavior in classrooms deeply reflects cultural traditions and educational socialization forces. In international education contexts emphasizing critical thinking and classroom interaction, silence is often misinterpreted as a lack of motivation or insufficient critical thinking. Nevertheless, it has been observed that many students who remain silent in oral classroom participation still perform well in written assignments, group projects, and post-class discussions, demonstrating high levels of analytical ability and deep thinking. This reveals the complex cultural and psychological mechanisms beyond language underlying silent classroom.

Therefore, an in-depth analysis of Chinese students' classroom silence in international settings not only helps optimize teaching strategies but also relates to the enhancement of intercultural educational quality. Based on theoretical frameworks, this study systematically analyzes the cultural roots of classroom silence and provides theoretical support and practical suggestions for promoting effective intercultural teaching interaction.

2.Theoretical Framework: Cultural Dimensions and Communication Patterns

2.1 High/Low-Context Culture Theory

Anthropologist Edward Hall's (1976) theory of high and low-context cultures provides a classic framework for understanding intercultural communication differences. According to Edward's theory, East Asian societies such as China, Japan, and South Korea are classified as high-context cultures where communication relies heavily on contextualized cues including nonverbal behavior, interaction settings, and social norms. In high-context cultures, much information is internalized within shared cultural experiences and expectations, with only a small portion transmitted explicitly through language.

In contrast, most Western countries belong to low-context cultures, emphasizing clear and direct verbal expression where information is primarily conveyed through language itself. Low-context cultures tend

to encode most information explicitly, focusing on linguistic precision and directness and relying less on implicit context factors. This fundamental difference in communication modes profoundly influences the expectations and behaviors in classroom teacher-student interactions.

Table 1: Comparison of High-Context and Low-Context Cultural Communication Characteristics omitted for brevity.

Characteristic Dimension	High-Context Culture	Low-Context Culture
Information Transmission	Implicit, indirect	Explicit, direct
Communication Dependence	Nonverbal cues, shared context	Linguistic content
Relationship Orientation	Relationship-intensive	Task-oriented
Learning Style Preference	Group collaboration tendency	Individual expression tendency

2.2 Hofstede's Cultural Dimensions Theory

Dutch social psychologist Geert Hofstede's (2001) theory of cultural dimensions offers another important perspective for interpreting classroom silence. Particularly relevant are the dimensions of power distance and individualism/collectivism concerning Chinese students' classroom behaviors.

Power distance denotes the degree to which members of a society accept and expect unequal power distribution within institutions and organizations (Hofstede, 2001). According to Hofstede's cultural dimensions, China is characterized by a high-power distance culture, which places a strong emphasis on respect for and obedience to authority (Hofstede, 2001). This is particularly evident in the educational context, where teachers are regarded as authoritative knowledge bearers and are traditionally expected to fulfill the roles of "imparting the Way, transmitting knowledge, and resolving doubts," embodying the Confucian principle of hierarchical relationships in pedagogy (Lyu & Yin, 2020; Hofstede, 2001). In such environments, students rarely challenge the authority of the teacher in public settings; instead, they are more likely to accept knowledge passively and avoid behaviors that may be perceived as disrespectful or disruptive to established hierarchies. This cultural orientation significantly shapes classroom participation norms, often resulting in student reticence or silence, especially in intercultural or international classroom settings Li, Y. (2020).

The individualism/collectivism dimension reflects how social member's interconnect. Chinese society exhibits strong collectivism, emphasizing group harmony, in-group identity, and interdependence. (Hofstede, 2001) [3.1] Within collectivist cultures, individual behaviors represent collective image, and individuals must consider peer evaluations, avoiding breaking group cohesion by standing out. This contrasts sharply with individualistic cultures that privilege personal expression and uniqueness.

2.3 Challenges to the Intercultural Applicability of "Harvard-Style Debate"

The renowned "Justice" public debate at Harvard University is often regarded as a model for ideal classroom interaction in higher education. However, achieving such highly adversarial debate requires meeting several demanding conditions, especially challenging in intercultural classroom contexts.

First, effective debate depends on equivalent knowledge reserves and training in critical thinking. Students must master not only professional knowledge but also familiarity with specific debate rules and discourse styles. Second, genuine fluency, extending beyond everyday communication to encompass complex, abstract, and spontaneous reasoning, is essential. Critically, removing cultural and psychological burdens is paramount. Students must overcome psychological restraints derived from high-context, collectivist, and high-power distance cultures, including fear of "losing face," reluctance to challenge authority, and concerns about peer judgment.

Research (Langen & Stamov Roßnagel,2023) shows that traditional Socratic debating methods may impose high psychological stress and anxiety on students from Confucian cultural spheres. Furthermore, the binary oppositional debate pattern can lead to polarized thinking, overlooking the "complex middle ground" representing diverse perspectives, conflicting with Eastern values emphasizing integrative thinking and harmonious coexistence.

3. Multidimensional Analysis of Chinese Students' Classroom Silence as a Cultural-Psychological Mechanism in East Asian Contexts

3.1 Individual and Psychological Factors of Students

Personality traits such as introversion and shyness are major reasons for students' reluctance to speak publicly. Zheng (2010) found that around 69% of students link their silence directly to introverted personalities, many preferring rear seats to avoid participation. Chinese cultural proverbs like "cautious speech" and "silence is golden" cultivate habits of listening more and speaking less. Literature reviews advocate a multidimensional understanding of silence, warning against equating silence with laziness or lack of effort.

Low self-confidence and self-efficacy are key predictors of passive silence. Liu (2025) used structural equation modeling to find significant negative predictive effects of self-efficacy on classroom silence: higher self-efficacy correlates with greater willingness to risk speaking; lower self-efficacy promotes silence.

3.2 Cultural factors in the Chinese social context

Students' classroom silence profoundly reflects the collectivist values and power structures inherent in their sociocultural context. From the perspective of collectivist values, student silence functions as relationship management aligned with group harmony and social cohesion. Liu, D. (2016) research on Asian-American students emphasizes that face concerns—reflecting a dual concern for preserving both self-face and other-face—constitute a crucial cultural factor inhibiting classroom participation. Within this

cultural framework, students tend to maintain interpersonal balance and harmony through silence, avoiding potential harm to others or disruption of group order due to inappropriate remarks. Classroom silence thus serves dual functions: it can be a protective mechanism (concealing personal viewpoints to avoid conflict) or a symbol of power (gaining discursive influence through silence).

Simultaneously, the teacher-student interaction patterns deeply influenced by Confucian philosophy further reinforce this phenomenon. Langen (2023) research confirms that in high power distance cultural contexts, students perceive openly questioning or challenging teachers' views as a form of disrespect, which severely constrains their classroom participation, even when teachers explicitly encourage speaking. This explicit role definition and hierarchical power structure lead students to view silence as a necessary means of maintaining social order and demonstrating respect for authority. Chinese traditional culture, through proverbs such as cautious speech and silence is golden, further cultivates students' behavioral habits of listening more and speaking less. These cultural norms, deeply embedded through intergenerational transmission, create a profound cultural cognition: speech requires caution, and silence is safer. This cultural tradition stands in fundamental tension with modern education's emphasis on active student participation and critical thinking, creating a deep ideological conflict within contemporary educational contexts.

3.3 Education System Factors: Exam-Oriented Culture and Its Impact

China's education system has been historically shaped by an exam-oriented culture that prioritizes written test performance over oral classroom participation, deeply influencing students' motivation and behavior. This cultural legacy, stemming from the imperial civil service examination system (keju), channels both teaching and learning efforts towards rote memorization and test preparation, often at the expense of communicative competence and active verbal engagement. Empirical research indicates that such assessment-focused environments discourage students from verbal participation, as academic success is predominantly measured through written examinations (Wang, 2024; Li & Chen, 2023). Conversely, educational reforms advocating inclusive assessment policies that recognize classroom participation have shown promising results in increasing students' willingness to speak and engage in discussions. However, challenges remain due to persistent effects of exam-oriented traditions, large class sizes, rigid curricula, and high academic pressure, all of which sustain classroom silence as a norm rather than exception (Zhang, 2019).

4. Reconceptualizing the Educational Value of Silence

For a long time, foreign lecturer contexts have tended to attribute Chinese students' classroom silence simplistically to language barriers, Confucian cultural traditions, or individual personality traits, thereby overlooking the multifaceted and multidimensional factors underlying this phenomenon (Liu, 2021). In reality, Chinese students' classroom silence should be understood as a normalized outcome of the interaction among individual psychological, sociocultural, and educational institutional factors, rather than an anomalous phenomenon caused by a single determining factor.

Silence manifests in diverse forms within classroom settings, exhibiting varying educational significance and functional characteristics. Research demonstrates that classroom silence is not a monolithic phenomenon but rather encompasses different types, including "strategic silence" and "passive silence" (Wang, 2023).

Strategic silence reflects students' capacity for deep thinking and rational reflection on learning content, facilitating cognitive processing and knowledge construction (Roberts, 2010). Although these students demonstrate relatively limited verbal participation in classroom discussions, they exhibit a strategic learning approach by employing "silent listening" to deeply process learning content through meticulous note-taking, high-quality assignments, and substantive post-class discussions. This represents a positive manifestation of "strategic silence." Such silence reflects students' deliberate learning choices and active adaptation, embodying cautious and reflective learning attitudes that promote deep cognitive processing and higher-order thinking development (Bao et al., 2014). Quantitative research reveals that approximately 26.2% of students believe that verbal expression following silent reflection carries greater persuasiveness and logical coherence, 25% of students tend to practice and refine speaking skills through silence, and 16.3% of students employ a cyclical "silence-then-speech" approach to cultivate and mature their ideas (Roberts, 2010). Through silence, these students engage in thorough cognitive processing, frequently and successfully converting silent internal thoughts into verbal output, thereby demonstrating the substantial value and significance of silence as an effective learning tool.

Passive silence, conversely, may stem from speaking anxiety, lack of confidence, and low self-efficacy—psychological barriers that often correlate with decreased learning engagement and diminished academic achievement (Bao, 2014). This type of student silence originates from speaking anxiety, severe lack of confidence, or low self-efficacy among negative psychological factors. Such silence frequently accompanies reduced learning engagement and academic underperformance, requiring teachers to implement multifaceted interventions through targeted emotional support, optimized task design, and adjusted teaching strategies to facilitate improvement (Li & Liu, 2021). Empirical research indicates that high self-efficacy exerts the strongest negative predictive effect on classroom silence; with each unit increase in student self-efficacy, classroom silence tendency exhibits a significant and practically meaningful downward trajectory (Zheng et al., 2022). This finding provides clear empirical support for teacher classroom intervention.

Furthermore, research reveals that silence possesses a dual functional dimension, serving simultaneously as both a protective mechanism and a symbol of power: students maintain personal image and preserve "face" through silence while simultaneously reinforcing their discursive influence and social standing in classroom discussions and interactions (Hu et al., 2024).

Drawing from the foregoing analysis, these insights prompt us to reexamine the multidimensional characteristics of classroom silence and guard against the stereotyped bias of interpreting all silent behavior as non-participation (Wang et al., 2024). British scholar Jim King (2013), through extensive

multisite research in Japanese university English classrooms, challenged the simplistic equation of silence with non-participation, further supporting the multidimensional understanding of silence.

Consequently, student classroom performance should be evaluated through multidimensional and comprehensive assessment systems rather than being assessed unilaterally through verbal participation alone. Accurately identifying and scientifically distinguishing between these two types of silence holds substantial theoretical and practical significance for educational practice and student development: it enables teachers to evaluate student learning outcomes more precisely, formulate more targeted differentiated teaching strategies, and more effectively cultivate an inclusive classroom culture that respects diverse learning styles and modes (Hu, H., & Sabirova, G. (2025)

Only by acknowledging the intrinsic learning value and functional significance of "strategic silence" while simultaneously implementing targeted interventions to ameliorate "passive silence" can we truly establish more equitable, democratic, and effective learning environments that promote comprehensive student development. This inclusive perspective on silence both respects students' diverse learning approaches and does not neglect support for struggling students, thereby embodying the humanistic concern and scientific spirit of contemporary education.

5. Educational Implications and Conclusions

Significant differences exist between Eastern and Western cultures, which have led to diverse characteristics and operational logics in classroom cultures within the context of internationalized education. As the core participants in classroom teaching, the primary task of teachers and students is to promote students' knowledge construction, intellectual enlightenment, and holistic development through scientifically effective teaching practices. Intercultural academic environments, whether foreign teachers are instructing Chinese students or Chinese teachers are instructing international students, when facing conflicts arising from differences in cultural backgrounds that affect classroom culture and teaching strategies, educators should avoid simplistic explanations of student behaviors based solely on their own cultural perspectives. Instead, they should adopt a reflective stance to deeply analyze the multifaceted mechanisms underlying students' classroom behaviors.

Regarding the phenomenon of classroom silence among Chinese students, foreign educators should invest time and effort in comprehensively understanding the cultural backgrounds, learning habits, and behavioral norms of their Chinese student populations. Based on such understanding, they should employ teaching strategies better aligned with Chinese students' cognitive characteristics and learning styles, gradually guiding and encouraging active participation. Specific approaches may include cooperative group tasks, advance distribution of questions allowing adequate preparation time, and online interactive activities. These diverse methods respect Chinese students' cultural identities and cognitive preferences while creating a safe and supportive learning environment, which helps alleviate psychological anxiety and enhances willingness to participate.

It is important to recognize that international classrooms commonly experience conflicts arising from cultural and perspective differences. Both teachers and students need to cultivate a more inclusive and open mindset in intercultural interactions and exchanges. Such inclusiveness entails not only acknowledgment and acceptance of cultural differences but also the transformation of this understanding into pedagogical and learning practices. Only through such inclusiveness can education return to its fundamental objective—promoting students' comprehensive development and personal growth—while avoiding the pursuit of superficial classroom vibrancy and formality. Genuine educational success lies not in the superficial liveliness of the classroom but in the joint deepening of thinking, enrichment of cultural understanding, and mutual respect for humanity among teachers and students.

REFERENCE

Bao, B., Wang, W., & Li, M. (2014). Classroom silence: A positive strategy for Chinese EFL learners. ELT Journal, 68(3), 274-283.

Bao, D. (2014). Understanding silence and reticence: Ways of participating in second language acquisition. Bloomsbury.

Roberts, D. E. (2010). The paradox of silence: Some questions about silence as resistance. Faculty Scholarship at Penn Law, 1318. https://scholarship.law.upenn.edu/faculty_scholarship/1318

Brookfield, S. D., & Preskill, S. (1999). Discussion as a way of teaching: Tools and techniques for democratic classrooms. Jossey-Bass.

Chai, S., Wang, J., & Zhao, L. (2023). Silence as power and protection: Understanding classroom silence in Chinese higher education. Communication Education, 72(1), 59-78

Hall, E. T. (1976). Beyond culture. Anchor Books.

Hofstede, G. (2001). Culture's consequences: Comparing values, behaviors, institutions and organizations across nations (2nd ed.). Sage Publications.

Hu, B., Zhu, Y., Liu, C., Zheng, S., Zhao, Z., & Bao, R. (2024). Collectivism, face concern and Chinese-style lurking among university students: The moderating role of trait mindfulness. Frontiers in Psychology, 15, 1298357.

Hu, H., & Sabirova, G. (2025). Differentiation approach in education: Tailoring instruction for diverse learners. Frontiers in Education, 10, 1298357.

Jackson, J. (2002). Reticence in second language case classrooms: A thematic analysis. Language Learning, 52(1), 1–32.

King, J. (2013). Classroom oral participation: A multi-site investigation of Japanese university EFL students' silences and utterances. Language Teaching Research, 17(1), 77-94. King, J. (2013). Silence in the second language classrooms of Japanese universities. The Language Teacher, 37(3), 1–8.

King, J. (2013). Silence in the second language classroom. Palgrave Macmillan. ISBN: 978-1-137-30147-5

King, J., & Harumi, S. (Eds.). (2020). East Asian perspectives on silence in English language education.

Multilingual Matters.

Langen, I., & Stamov Roßnagel, C. (2023). East is East: Socratic classroom communication is linked to higher stress in students from Confucian heritage cultures. Heliyon, 9(5), e15748https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e15748

Li, C., Chen, X., & Duanmu, J. (2010). Impact of English proficiency on academic performance of international students. Journal of the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning, 10(3), 44–59.

Li, L., & Liu, Z. (2021). Developing and validating a scale to measure teachers' emotional labor strategy in classrooms. Frontiers in Psychology, 13, 839423. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.839423

Li, X., & Li, M. (2021). Toward the role of EFL/ESL students' silence as a facilitative element in their success. Frontiers in Psychology, 12, 724997.

Li, Y. (2020). Shaping current teachers with the concept about teaching students in accordance with their aptitude in Confucianism. Journal of Education and Social Research, 2(3), 1-10.

Liu, D. (2016). Strategies to promote Chinese international students' school performance: Resolving the challenges in American higher education. Asian-Pacific Journal of Second and Foreign Language Education, 1, 8. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40862-016-0012-9

Liu, M., & Jackson, J. (2008). An exploration of Chinese EFL learners 'unwillingness to communicate and foreign language anxiety. The Modern Language Journal, 92(1), 71–86. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4781.2008.00687.x

Liu, Z., Sun, D., Zhang, L., Wang, X., Yang, Y. (2025). The impact of classroom interaction on willingness to communicate: The mediating roles of speaking self-efficacy and foreign language enjoyment. PLOS ONE, 20(7), e0328226. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0328226

Johnson, M., & Taylor, R. (2023). Beyond speech: Multidimensional assessment in language classrooms. Language Assessment Quarterly, 20(2), 123-145.

Noman, M., & Xu, J. (2023). Cultural-psychological model of classroom silence among Chinese students in Sino-foreign educational contexts. Frontiers in Psychology.

Spizzica, J. (2007). Chinese students' reticence: A study in cultural contrast. Journal of Comparative Education, 5(2), 35–48.

Wang, X., Li, H., & Sun, Y. (2024). Reconsidering classroom silence: A multidimensional approach in East Asian learning contexts. International Journal of Educational Research, 120, 101893.

Wu, L., & Zhang, X. (2022). Analysis of classroom silence behaviors among Chinese college students: Role of self-efficacy and contextual constraints. Frontiers in Psychology, 13, 895411.

Xie, K. (2023). A study on the development of cross-cultural higher-order thinking in multilingual environments. Journal of the School of Education, Peking University.

Zhang, D. (2019). Analysis of classroom silence in English class in Chinese universities. Academic Journal of Humanities & Social Sciences, 2, 54–64.

Zheng, Q., Huang, L., & Chen, Y. (2022). The mediating role of self-efficacy in reducing classroom silence among Chinese students. Educational Psychology Quarterly, 38(4), 402-419.

Zheng, X. (2010). Re-interpreting silence: Chinese international students' verbal participation in U.S. universities. The International Journal of Learning, 17(5), 451–464.

Zheng, Y. (2010). The relationship between personality traits and classroom participation of Chinese university students. Asian Social Science, 6(5), 57–65.